

*Princeton School of Public  
and International Affairs*

*Class of 2024*

*Senior Thesis*

## Table of Contents

### Senior Thesis

<b>Senior Thesis Deadlines</b>	3
<b>Goals</b>	4
<b>Developing your Topic</b>	4
<b>Thesis Organization</b>	6
<b>Thesis Proposal Form</b>	8
<b>First Semester Progress Report Form</b>	8
<b>Research Funding</b>	8
<b>Thesis Abstract</b>	8
<b>Thesis Submission</b>	9
<b>Lateness Penalties</b>	9
<b>Emergency Extensions</b>	10
<b>THESIS GRADING STANDARDS</b>	11
<b>THESIS GRADING PROCESS</b>	12
<b>Oral Examinations</b>	12
<b>Oral Exam Grading</b>	13
<b>Senior Thesis Prizes</b>	13
<b>Multiple Submission Regulation</b>	13
<b>Manuscript Instructions</b>	14
<b>Title Page Format</b>	15
<b>Writing Advisors</b>	17
<b>Principles of Ethical Interviewing</b>	17
<b>Citations and Bibliography</b>	23
<b>Library Research Tips</b>	24

# Senior Thesis Deadlines

## **Thesis Proposal Form Due**

**Thursday, September 21, 2023**

You must submit your thesis proposal form, signed by your advisor, via email to [gharriso@princeton.edu](mailto:gharriso@princeton.edu).

## **First Semester Progress Report Due**

**Monday, December 4, 2023**

You must submit your first semester progress report to your advisor and to [gharriso@princeton.edu](mailto:gharriso@princeton.edu).

## **Complete Draft**

**Friday, March 1, 2024**

First drafts of all of your chapters are due to your thesis advisor (or earlier per any agreement with your thesis advisor).

## **Thesis Due**

**Monday, April 8, 2024**

An electronic copy must be submitted to the Undergraduate Program Office ([gharriso@princeton.edu](mailto:gharriso@princeton.edu)) by 12:00 p.m. (noon). Upload a PDF of your thesis, for archiving at MUDD Library, via the centralized University [Senior Thesis Submission Site](#).

See page 9 for additional thesis deadline information.

## **Oral Examinations**

**May 8 –May 9, 2024**

The University's requirement for a senior comprehensive examination is satisfied by an oral examination based upon your thesis.

## Goals

The undergraduate major offered by Princeton's School of Public and International Affairs (SPIA) is a multidisciplinary liberal arts major designed for students who are interested in public service and becoming leaders in local, state, or national government, international organizations, non-profits, and non-governmental organizations. The curriculum is flexible and draws on theoretical foundations and analytical tools of multiple affiliated disciplinary departments to emphasize the myriad ways that public policy serves communities and their needs.

Students may take classes in and conduct independent research on a wide range of international and domestic topics, including democratic backsliding and human rights abuses in authoritarian regimes; access to health care and education in refugee communities and forced migration settlements; technology innovation in the Global South; poverty, policing, and mass incarceration in the United States; military and security policy, environmental policy, alongside dozens of other topics. Our faculty come from economics, politics, evolutionary biology, computer science, mechanical and aerospace engineering, psychology, history, and sociology. We also have many career public servants, or practitioners, who teach courses for us and advise senior theses. Their input is essential for exposure to real-world challenges and perspectives on policy needs and implications, and for making links between the academic literature and practical applications of public policy.

SPIA seniors draw on their core and elective work to produce clearly written, well organized, methodologically sound, and substantively defensible senior theses on a current and significant public policy issue. We expect that every thesis will present an organized and clearly written research paper that articulates one's argument, and addresses the research question and how it contributes to the literature, by:

- Generating a testable, original research question in an area of public policy
- Identifying or collecting evidence that will allow one to test their hypothesis
- Applying appropriate research method(s) to analyze the data
- Drawing evidence-based conclusions from one's analysis that apply to the relevant public policy debate

## Developing your Topic

Your thesis topic must focus on a public policy issue that is of current domestic or international concern. You must pose a specific public policy question framed as a testable hypothesis. The question must be answered, or the hypothesis tested, through the analysis of relevant research evidence. The answer to the policy question should lead to public policy conclusions, that is, they should result in findings that could impact the decisions of policymakers dealing with the issue on which you are focused.

In addition to answering a specific public policy question, a thesis that includes policy recommendations should include an analysis of all policy options and defend the specific recommendation; the defense should deal not only with

substantive considerations, but also those of political feasibility and practical implementation.

Your topic should examine a debatable issue that requires evidence for its evaluation. If everyone agrees with you, if there is no scholarly controversy, if the answer is obvious, or if you haven't posed a testable or answerable question, then you do not have a valid topic. A thesis that is not driven by a research question is inherently weak (for example, a thesis that is primarily descriptive or simply compares the relationship between x and y without first posing a question about the relationship). Since such a thesis does not have a question to answer, it does not require any specific evidence or evaluation and has no persuasive authority. Furthermore, it will not be clear to either you or the reader when you have done enough (or the appropriate) research or reached a logical end to the thesis.

For example, you may wish to study nuclear capacity in North Korea. But this is a general topic, not a specific issue for investigation. A research question might be: What is the impact of nuclear developments in North Korea on Chinese-Japanese relations? A hypothesis might be: The nuclear threat from North Korea has promoted closer Chinese-Japanese relations on a wide range of issues. The hypothesis should specify concepts that are sufficiently concrete that you can identify variation over time or cases. In this example, one would need to define what constitutes measures of the nuclear threat from North Korea and what measures will be used to assess the outcome of closer Chinese-Japanese relations (i.e. diplomatic meetings, positive public opinion, formal agreements).

You should be able to explain to the reader why you are asking your question and why your findings will be of significance or interest – this is your response to the reader who says “So What?” You should tell the reader about the issue that generated your topic, why will it matter if we know the answer to your question, and what your thesis will add to our knowledge.

You will probably begin your search for a thesis topic with only a general area of interest. You must then review scholarly work in this area in order to develop an issue worthy of investigation and to find out whether sufficient primary and secondary sources exist for you to do meaningful research. Consult with your advisor, talk to the librarians, and review the Research Guide at the end of this manual to help you with your research. You should not be overly dependent on information that you will have to develop yourself; while interviews and surveys can greatly improve the quality of a thesis, they both rely on access and availability that may or may not be available when you need it. If you are planning to have interviews or surveys as a central component of your thesis, it is essential to begin early and have a backup plan. During this initial process, it is not unusual for students to revise topics or adjust their research aims.

Only some topics can be explored in the time you have available. It is important that you make sure that the topic is manageable given the deadlines. Again, consultations with your advisor are essential on the issue. You should conduct the bulk of your research during the fall semester so that you are ready to begin writing in January (though we encourage you to do some writing while you are in the research phase). **We strongly advise that you begin submitting drafts of your chapters to your advisor by late January and aim for a complete draft**

**by late February (see current deadlines on page 3.)** During March you should be revising, refining, and rewriting as necessary.

In developing your topic, you should ask yourself the following questions:

- What is my general area of research?
- What have scholars and practitioners written about this topic?
- What is my specific research question and hypothesis?
- What evidence will I need to support my claim or answer my question?
- Where will I find data?
- Do I have confidence in the validity of my sources?
- Which methodologies are appropriate for the relevant analyses of the evidence?
- Can I complete the research and writing by the established deadlines?

## **Thesis Advising**

Your thesis advisor will serve as your main sounding board and guide for your thesis. It is important to select an advisor who is a good match with your working style and it is equally important to set expectations early on in the advising relationship. When meeting with potential thesis advisors, discussing the following points will help you understand what kind of working relationship you'll have with your advisor:

- Discuss methodology you intend to use for the thesis.
- What is your preferred method of communication?
- Discuss preferred meeting schedule that works for both advisor and advisee (For example: bi-weekly in the fall semester and weekly in the spring)
- How do you provide feedback? Do you provide line-by-line feedback or general points?
- Are you CITI certified and able to serve as a PI for an IRB protocol?

If you have trouble identifying an advisor or have trouble communicating with your thesis advisor, please do not hesitate to reach out to the Undergraduate Program Office (UPO).

Other resources to help with your senior thesis journey are our UPO academic advisors, the SPIA writing advisors, Stokes Librarians and more. Reach out to the UPO for more information on these invaluable resources.

## **Thesis Organization**

A thesis may take many forms. To familiarize yourself with the range of topics, approaches, and formats of theses, you may wish to review [copies of recent prize-](#)

[winning SPIA theses](#). You may also access The [Senior Thesis Catalog](#), which is a catalog of theses written by seniors at Princeton University from 1926 to the present, and are available at the [Seeley G. Mudd Manuscript Library](#). While these theses should be of assistance, ultimately your thesis advisor is the person best suited to providing guidance on the appropriate approach for your thesis.

A thesis should begin with an introduction to the public policy issue and its context. This chapter will also provide a brief overview of the full research design – what is your question and how you will answer it in subsequent chapters. A typical thesis will proceed to a discussion of how social science disciplines (economics, politics, history, sociology, psychology) have examined the issues similar to the one you have chosen; this section, the so-called literature review, establishes the broader context for your question by citing the relevant literature. Clearly develop your own argument and state how it differs from existing scholarship – you may be extending on well-known theories, testing their application in new areas, or developing a completely new perspective on the problem. The following chapters typically describe your research findings, which may include presentation of statistical analysis or case studies. All variables and data sources should be clearly documented with appropriate discussion of the sample and estimation procedure in the main text, while an appendix may include details on coding procedures. The final chapter reviews main conclusions and provides broader discussion of the implications of your study where you draw relevant policy conclusions. Again, if you conclude with formal policy recommendations, you will need to include an analysis of the policy options, a substantive defense of your chosen approach based on your research evidence, and a discussion of its political feasibility and practicality.

An excellent senior thesis can be 75 pages or less. No thesis should be longer than 115 pages. Any page after 115 may or may not be read by the second reader. A thesis longer than 115 pages will not be considered for a SPIA thesis prize.

The 115-page limit includes:

- 1) the abstract
- 2) the table of contents
- 3) ancillary material such as tables and charts
- 4) all footnotes

The page limit does *not* include:

- 1) the title page
- 2) the dedication
- 3) the honor code statement
- 4) the bibliography

## Thesis Proposal Form

You must submit a [thesis proposal form](#), signed by your advisor, to the Program Office by the deadline indicated on page 3. The form, which is available on the Program Office's website, requires a brief description of your topic, your research question, your hypothesis about the evidence, and the nature of the evidence you expect to use.

## First Semester Progress Report Form

You must submit a first [semester progress report form](#) to your advisor and to the Program Office during the first week of December (see deadlines on page 3.) The form, requires 1) a brief description of the proposed thesis (250 - 500 words), 2) a research plan, 3) an outline, and 4) a bibliography. In addition, you will identify your work to date (such as background readings and compilation of evidence) and your progress as measured against your research plan. You should also identify any problems or issues that you have encountered that have or may limit your progress.

## Research Funding

The Princeton School of Public and International Affairs provides thesis research funding for the summer between your junior and senior year and for fall and winter break during your senior year. Research funding opportunities will be available on the University's Student Activities Funding Engine, [SAFE](#). Fall break funding applications will be due in late September. Winter break funding applications will be due in late October.

## Thesis Abstract

You **must** include a Thesis Abstract (**only one-page, single-spaced**) to be placed after your Table of Contents page. It should summarize the topic, the hypothesis or research question, research methods, major themes and concepts, and the findings and general policy conclusions. The abstract should not be confused with your introduction. The abstract is a summary of what you have done, whereas the introduction generally tells the reader what you plan to do.

## Thesis Submission

Graphs and charts should be in color.

An electronic copy must be submitted to the Undergraduate Office, [gharriso@princeton.edu](mailto:gharriso@princeton.edu), by the 4:00 p.m. deadline. Upload a PDF of your thesis, for archiving at MUDD Library, via a centralized University [Senior Thesis Submission Site](#).

## Lateness Penalties

**12pm (noon) on Monday, April 8, 2024** is the SPIA thesis deadline for the Class of 2024.

Any senior who meets the deadlines for the first three thesis milestones (as outlined below) will be granted a 48-hour grace period on the thesis due date (i.e. we will waive the late penalty). Students are still encouraged to regard the thesis due date as the official deadline and to consider the grace period as cover for unanticipated delays during the final stretch leading up to submission.

1. Senior Thesis Proposal form due by **12pm (noon) on Thursday, 9/21/2023**
2. First Semester Progress Report due by **12pm (noon) on Monday, 12/4/2023**
3. Complete Draft due by **12pm (noon) on Friday, 3/1/2024**

One-third of the thesis final grade (e.g. A to an A-) will be deducted for a thesis turned in anytime from 12:01PM, April 8 – 12:00PM, April 12.

An additional one-third of the thesis final grade (e.g. A to a B+) will be deducted for a thesis turned in anytime from 12:01PM, April 12 – 12:00PM, April 16.

The thesis final grade will be reduced by a whole letter grade (e.g. A to a B) if turned in anytime from 12:01PM, April 16 – 12:00PM, April 20.

### **For those to whom a grace period has been granted:**

One-third of the thesis final grade (e.g. A to an A-) will be deducted for a thesis turned in anytime from 12:01PM, April 10 – 12:00PM, April 14.

An additional one-third of the thesis final grade (e.g. A to a B+) will be deducted for a thesis turned in anytime from 12:01PM, April 14 – 12:00PM, April 18.

The thesis final grade will be reduced by a whole letter grade (e.g. A to a B) if turned in anytime from 12:01PM, April 18 – 12:00PM, April 22.

In either instance, the final grade would continue to be reduced by one-third for each additional four-day period or fraction of four days that the thesis is late.

### **Emergency Extensions**

The Princeton School of Public and International Affairs will grant extensions only for severe personal illness, accident, or family emergency. The request for an extension must be made in writing. Extensions to a date no later than the University's deadline for submitting senior independent work may be granted by the Director of the Program. After this deadline, extensions may be granted only by the Dean of your residential college.

Under no circumstances will extensions be granted for any reason connected with computer problems. Students should therefore save, backup, print their work in a manner designed to prevent last-minute crises.

## THESIS GRADING STANDARDS

- A The thesis is an outstanding work that has all of the following qualities:
- clear articulation of a research question and hypothesis
  - engages with existing scholarly and policy debates related to topic
  - thorough presentation of evidence to assess hypothesis and compare with alternative explanations
  - demonstrates first-rate research skills and use of sources
  - intellectually original argument that draws clear conclusions based on analysis and links the contribution of research to a policy debate
  - high level organization and writing skill.
- A- The thesis is a well-conceived work of solid scholarship that meets the first three elements of an A thesis, but falls short in the quality of evidence, originality of argument, or effectiveness of the writing expected for an A effort.
- B+ The thesis is informative and generally well-written, but lacks some elements of originality, sophistication or rigor. It may provide thorough assessment of the research question but fail to rigorously engage with scholarly literature and policy debates and neglect to fully consider alternative explanations. Theses in this range must be clearly written, but may be less polished writing and more superficial in the use of sources for evidence.
- B to B- The thesis is competent, but lacks one or more major qualities such as a clear articulation of the issue, a thorough research effort, a persuasive analysis or a fluid writing style.
- C+ to C- The thesis demonstrates substantial flaws in logic, research, writing or understanding of the issue.
- D The thesis demonstrates a significant lack of effort or has substantial defects in quality and clarity.
- F The thesis demonstrates a complete lack of effort and no redeeming qualities.

**Note:** The A+ grade is reserved for work of truly unusual quality. It requires a special, additional letter from the faculty member to the University's Committee on examinations and Standards explaining how the student's work exceeds the high standards established for an A.

An A+ grade is counted in the University's GPA calculations and the Princeton School of Public and International Affairs' honors calculations as if it were an A.

## THESIS GRADING PROCESS

The thesis is graded by the thesis advisor, who is the first reader of the senior thesis, and by a second reader assigned by the Undergraduate Program Office. The grade is calculated as follows:

- If the readers' grades are identical, that is the final grade.
- If the readers' grades differ by one full grade (e.g., A to B) or less, the average grade is the final grade.
- If the readers' grades differ by more than one full letter grade, the two readers consult to determine the final grade; if they are unable to agree, the Faculty Chair of the Undergraduate Program determines the grade.

The Undergraduate Program office will determine any penalty for lateness, which will be included in the grade reported to the Registrar.

### Oral Examinations

The University's requirement for a senior comprehensive examination is satisfied in the Princeton School of Public and International Affairs by an oral examination based on your thesis. Exact dates are found on page 3. While you will be informed of a specific time for your oral defense, you should still plan to be available on these days since there may be last-minute changes. The oral examinations are public; you may invite friends, other faculty members, and relatives to attend.

You are required to make a ten-minute oral presentation, that will be followed by ten minutes of questions and discussion with the examiners. It is important that you carefully prepare your presentation and time it in advance. You can expect to be asked to end your presentation promptly when your ten minutes are up. Your advisor will be one of the examiners, and the other examiner will in most cases be your second reader, but may be another member of the faculty.

Several days prior to the examination, you will receive comments on your thesis from your advisor and second reader. Since both examiners will be familiar with your thesis, your presentation should not summarize your work. Rather, you should be prepared to respond to the major points or criticisms raised in the written comments of your readers. Beyond that, you should use the thesis as a point of departure for a more general discussion of public policy issues. You may, for example, describe why you thought it was important to work on your particular topic, what kind of research on your subject remains to be done by scholars in the field, or what has happened that is relevant to your subject since you completed the thesis.

Some students also address questions raised by the thesis research or review particular issues of methodology or data analysis. Questions by the examiners may then address matters raised in your oral presentation, matters that are relevant to the content of your thesis, or broader public policy issues.

PowerPoint presentations should only be used to display information illustrating the importance of your topic, to demonstrate relevant data in different ways than described in the thesis, or to present data not included in the thesis that allow you to respond to your readers' written comments or criticisms. PowerPoint should not be used simply to summarize what you plan to say in your presentation or to reproduce material from your thesis.

## **Oral Exam Grading**

An **A** grade will be awarded for an oral examination with the following qualities:

- the student exhibits an articulate and confident manner appropriate for public speaking and the formal presentation adheres to the 10-minute limit.
- the formal presentation is well-organized and offers insights that expand on the content of the thesis and are responsive to the written comments of the readers
- the student provides thoughtful, informed responses to the follow-up questions.

Other grades will be awarded in accordance with the degree to which the presentation does not have these qualities.

## **Senior Thesis Prizes**

A thesis that receives a grade of A or higher and a statement of support from both readers (and is within the page limit) may be considered for a Princeton School of Public and International Affairs thesis prize. Prizes are awarded by a specially appointed School faculty committee that weighs the relative merits of all theses under consideration. Prizes are presented at our Class Day ceremony.

## **Multiple Submission Regulation**

Sometimes students wish to include in their thesis material that they produced for another course or for their JP. Please note the University's rule regarding multiple submission of material:

*Under certain conditions, the student may be permitted to rewrite an earlier work or to satisfy two academic requirements by producing a single piece of work, more extensive than that which would satisfy*

*either requirement on its own. In such cases, however, the student must secure, **in writing**, prior permission from each instructor involved.*

Students should complete the [Thesis Multiple Submission](#) form and submit it to the Undergraduate Program Office one month prior to submitting their thesis.

## **Manuscript Instructions**

Include the Honor Pledge, and your signature on the last page (see below).

Use a 1-inch margin on the left, right, top and bottom.

Double-space all text (except long quotations, footnotes and bibliography).

Number your pages.

Use a 12-point size type and a readable font. Avoid the use of multiple fonts and type sizes (other than footnotes, which may be in a smaller font). Indent paragraphs and avoid paragraphs longer than a page.

Within chapters, use only two levels of headings, either in bold or underlined and placed at the left margin or centered. The primary heading is all caps, the secondary is caps and lower case:

PRIMARY HEADING

Secondary Heading

Number your pages.

Pages should be organized as follows:

Title page (see format on next page)

Second page: Dedications (optional)

Third page: Acknowledgements

Fourth page: Table of Contents

Fifth page: Abstract

Last page: The last page must contain the following form:

This thesis represents my own work in accordance with University Regulations.

*Your signature*

## **Title Page Format**

**Thesis Title**

Student Name

Date

A Senior Thesis presented to the Faculty of the Princeton School of Public and  
International Affairs in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of  
Bachelor of Arts

## **Part II**

### ***Writing & Research Assistance; Interviewing & Formatting Guidelines***

## **SPIA Writing Advisors**

In addition to your consultations with your thesis advisor, we strongly recommended that you meet regularly with the Princeton School of Public and International Affairs Writing Advisors for assistance in conceptualizing and organizing your thesis, developing your arguments, and reviewing your writing. The SPIA writing advisors can best help you if you meet with them early in (as well as throughout) the process. They can be reached at [spiawriting@princeton.edu](mailto:spiawriting@princeton.edu).

## **Principles of Ethical Interviewing**

### **Conducting Expert Interviews**

Conducting research for a senior thesis at SPIA often involves talking with public officials and other experts who have in-depth knowledge about government policies or programs. Knowledgeable informants can provide unique insights that help you hone in quickly and efficiently on key underlying issues in your research. It is important for you as the student researcher to conduct these expert interviews in a responsible manner and in compliance with federal regulations and University policy.

As a policy researcher, you need to gather and report facts with integrity, accuracy, and fairness. One aspect of ethical research revolves around the use of sources and understanding when and how to name those sources and when it is appropriate to keep them confidential.

Firstly, naming sources is a fundamental practice in policy research, just as it is in good journalism: it adds credibility and transparency to your thesis. Generally, it is important to attribute information to its source whenever possible. By naming sources, you enable your readers to evaluate the reliability and credibility of the information presented. It also provides an opportunity for readers to conduct their own research or seek additional perspectives on a given topic.

However, there are circumstances where protecting the identity of sources becomes necessary. These situations typically arise when revealing a source's identity would put them at risk of harm, retribution, or jeopardize their livelihood. As part of your interview, you need to discuss with your expert informants whether and how they want to be named or described in your thesis. Confidentiality is often crucial for whistleblowers, individuals sharing sensitive information, or those involved in illegal activities who want to expose wrongdoing while safeguarding their own safety. Confidentiality is also important when conducting human subjects research, as explained below.

To decide whether to name or keep a source confidential, you must consider several factors:

- *Public interest*: Is the information provided by the source in the public interest? Will it contribute to a better understanding of important issues or expose significant wrongdoing?
- *Source reliability*: Is the source trustworthy and credible? Can you evaluate the veracity of the information and assess the source's track record and motivations?
- *Alternative means*: Can the information be verified or corroborated through other sources or evidence? You should make reasonable efforts to substantiate the claims made by their sources.

If you decide to keep a source confidential, you must take measures to protect that person's identity. You should employ encryption tools, communicate through secure channels, and store information securely to minimize the risk of inadvertent exposure.

The decision to protect sources should not be taken lightly. The researcher must balance the need for transparency and accountability with the potential consequences that exposing a source might have on their safety and the quality of their future reporting. Naming sources fosters transparency and accountability, while protecting sources' confidentiality can enable important revelations and safeguard individuals in vulnerable positions.

## **Human Subjects Research**

There are many instances where conducting interviews or observing people for your thesis is regarded as *human subjects research*.

If the information you are gathering for your thesis qualifies as human subjects research, then you must obtain approval from the University's Institutional Review Board (IRB) before you conduct interviews or start collecting data. The IRB plays a crucial role in ensuring the ethical treatment of human participants in research studies. Its primary responsibility is to review and approve research proposals to protect the rights, welfare, and well-being of individuals involved in research conducted by faculty, staff, and students. As aspiring researchers, it's vital for you to understand when your work qualifies as human subjects research and when IRB oversight is necessary. Here are some key considerations:

- *Involvement of human participants*: Human subjects research typically involves individuals who are the focus of the study, whether through interviews, surveys, observations, or experiments. This includes collecting data from living individuals through various means.
- *Systematic collection of data*: If you are conducting research that systematically collects data from human participants, it is likely considered human subjects research. This applies whether the data are gathered

through surveys, interviews, experiments, or even analyzing existing data that can be linked to specific individuals.

- *Identifiability of participants*: Research that involves identifiable information about individuals, such as names, addresses, social security numbers, or any other personally identifiable data, usually falls within the scope of human subjects research. Anonymized or de-identified data, where individuals cannot be identified, may not always require IRB review, but caution should be exercised to protect participants' privacy.

If your research is intentional and systematic, designed to contribute new knowledge, and involves human participants, you will likely require IRB review and approval. When the results of your research aim to be generalized or applied to a broader population beyond the immediate study participants, IRB approval is typically necessary. The intent to contribute to broader knowledge is a key factor in determining whether IRB review is required. By contrast, expert interviews that are not intended to represent the views or experiences of anyone beyond the person being interviewed are not likely to need IRB review. Thus, for example, an interview with a public official about immigration policy probably does not qualify as human subjects research, whereas interviews with recent immigrants about their experiences in moving to a new country almost certainly qualify as human subjects research and therefore need IRB approval. Information gained in the first example is not generalizable to some larger population of public officials, but information gleaned from interviews in the second example is intended to be representative of the experiences of a larger population of immigrants.

If you are uncertain about whether your interviews or data gathering activities qualify as human subjects research, please consult your faculty advisor **and** email a brief synopsis of your proposed research plan to [irb@princeton.edu](mailto:irb@princeton.edu), cc'ing your advisor. The synopsis should mention that the research is for a senior thesis, and it should include (1) a one-sentence description of the purpose or goal of your research, (2) your proposed procedures, (3) a representative list of questions you intend to ask, and (4) your advisor's name and department. For example:

*I am writing to request a human subjects determination for a proposed senior thesis project. My goal is to study policies regarding access to religious services for incarcerated individuals. I plan to discuss with corrections officials their policies on prisoner access to religious advisors; whether there are official chaplains associated with the prison (and details on the numbers and types); and the process for prisoners to request and access religious advisors. For those incarcerated, I intend to discuss if they knew of the policies for access to religious advisors; whether they had ever met with religious advisors; and their description of the process. In the thesis, corrections officials will be identified by name (for those who consent to be named) and inmates will be identified by pseudonym only. My advisor is Prof. Valerie Gutierrez in the Fine Arts Department.*

You must not conduct any interviews before you have (a) determined whether you need IRB approval for your research, and (b) received IRB approval in cases where it is required. If the IRB responds to your initial inquiry and determines that you do not need full IRB review and approval, you may move forward with your interviews. However, if the IRB determines that your research will need review

and approval, you will need to provide a fuller description of your proposed thesis research as described on the IRB website. You can access the form you need to complete for review [online](#).

It's important to note that seeking IRB approval is intended to ensure ethical conduct and protect the rights and well-being of human participants. IRB review helps to minimize potential risks, ensure informed consent, and maintain confidentiality and privacy. IRB approval protects human subjects as well as the investigator and the University. In addition, many scholarly journals require IRB approval for publication. As a rule, the IRB does not review or approve studies that have already been completed.

As a student researcher, you need to familiarize yourself with the IRB's guidelines and adhere to the principles of informed consent, voluntary participation, privacy, and confidentiality when working with human subjects. The IRB process not only safeguards participants but also enhances the validity and credibility of your research. Understanding when IRB approval is necessary and how to navigate the review process are essential parts of the research skills you will be encouraged to develop as a SPIA concentrator.

You can find additional information about the IRB review process [here](#).

### **Avoiding Mistakes in the IRB Application Process**

Students and their advisors sometimes make mistakes when going through the IRB review process. To get through the process quickly, it is best for you and your advisor to avoid making these common mistakes. Otherwise, the review and approval process could needlessly delay the start of your research.

- (1) *Your advisor is not eligible to serve as a principal investigator (PI).* Students are not eligible to submit applications for IRB review on their own. At Princeton, applications for IRB review can only be submitted by faculty or staff members who are eligible to be principal investigators. If your advisor is a visiting lecturer, he or she may not be eligible to serve as the PI for your application. The list of University faculty and staff who qualify as PI's can be found [here](#). For this situation, you must find a faculty or staff member who is PI-eligible and willing to serve as a co-advisor for your research.
- (2) *Your advisor is eligible to serve as PI, but has not gone through human subjects training.* If your advisor has not gone through training in basic human subjects protections, then he or she cannot serve as the PI for your IRB application. For this situation, your advisor must go through the online [training](#) and be certified or you must find a faculty or staff member who is PI-eligible and willing to serve as a co-advisor for your research.
- (3) *Your application is missing information or documents.* At Princeton University, the IRB uses a paperless application system that you can

access [online](#). If the IRB requests that you complete the full application for a comprehensive review, then you must (a) answer all of the IRB's questions about your proposed research, and (b) upload any supporting documents you will use for your data collection, including your consent form, your questionnaire or list of interview topics, and any letters or email messages you will use to recruit subjects. If any of these supporting documents are missing or your answers to questions are inadequate, your application will be returned to you for corrections.

- (4) *Your advisor does not sign the application.* After you complete a full IRB application, a request goes out automatically by email to your advisor. Your advisor must then log into the eRIA system, open the application and review it. At this point, the advisor can return the application to the student because it needs additional work, or they can sign the application electronically and forward it to the IRB for review. However, if the advisor does not respond to the request for review and signing, the application will not reach the IRB for review.
- (5) *You do not respond to the IRB's request for additional information or documents.* If the IRB writes back to you and your advisor to say that you need additional information or modifications to your application, it is important to respond quickly. If you are unclear about what information is needed, you should consult with your advisor or ask the IRB directly ([irb@princeton.edu](mailto:irb@princeton.edu)). Be sure to flag IRB's email address to ensure the emails are not filtering to your SPAM folder as this will cause further delay.

### **IRB Review: Obtaining Consent**

If you are applying for IRB review for your research project, one of your first tasks will be to decide how to obtain consent from the subjects you are working with. Documenting consent is important because it demonstrates you have explained the nature of your project to the people serving as respondents in a survey or allowing you to interview them or observe them. The subjects for your study should know they are participating voluntarily and that you as the researcher will respect their preferences for being named as an informant or for keeping their identity confidential. In some cases, you might use a paper consent form to document their preferences, but in cases where a paper form isn't practical or feasible, you can use a verbal script to inform your research subjects before you begin interviewing or observing them. The IRB offers a template for a paper consent form on its [website](#). These are most appropriate when you are interviewing or observing people in person. If you are conducting a web-based survey or an online experiment, then [a web-based version of the consent form](#) is recommended. A third alternative is the verbal consent script, an example of which is shown below:

## Sample Verbal Script for Obtaining Informed Consent

Hello, my name is [your name]. I am a [junior/senior] at Princeton University in the School of Public and International Affairs. I am conducting research that will be used in my [junior paper/senior thesis].

I am studying [description of the research]...

[Example 1]

...the budgetary process in the state of New Jersey. I would like to ask you a series of questions about your role in formulating the state budget and your broader understanding of how spending priorities are set.

[Example 2]

...policies related to affordable housing. I am very interested in your opinions and interpretations of how effective policies and programs in New Jersey have been in increasing the supply of affordable housing and reducing homelessness.

The information you share with me will be of great value in helping me to complete this research project.

This [interview, discussion, etc.] will take about [approximate amount] of your time.

[Insert one of the following depending on whether participant identifiers are collected]

[Example 1 – Named sources]

Please tell me how you would like to be identified in my [JP/thesis]: by name and title or as an anonymous source.

[Example 2 – Identifiers collected and kept confidential]

There is a small risk of a breach of confidentiality, but I will make every effort to keep your identity and what you tell me strictly confidential. I will not link your name to anything you say in the text of my [JP/thesis].

[Example 3 – Identifiers will not be collected]

There is no risk of a breach of confidentiality. I will not link your name to anything you say, either in the transcript of this [interview, discussion, etc.] or in the text of my [JP/thesis].

Participation is voluntary. If you decide not to participate, there will be no penalty or loss of benefits to which you are otherwise entitled. You can, of course, decline to [discuss any issue, answer any question, etc.] and you may stop participating at any time, without any penalty or loss of benefits to which you are otherwise entitled.

If you have any additional questions concerning this research or your

participation in it, please feel free to contact me, my [JP/thesis] advisor or our university research office at any time.

(The respondent will be given an information card, when applicable, containing name, institutional affiliation, and contact information.) [See also sample text for card]

[Insert the following when audio or video recording the interaction:]

I would like to make an [audio/video] recording of our discussion, so that I can have an accurate record of the information that you provide to me. [I will transcribe the recording and will keep the transcripts confidential and securely in my possession.] [I will erase the recording after I transcribe it.]

Do you have any questions about this research? Do you agree to participate [Insert if applicable: 'and may I record our discussion']?

If so, let's begin...."

As you and your advisor complete the online application for IRB review, you will be asked to upload (a) your version of the consent form (i.e., the version to be printed on paper, the online version, or the verbal script), along with (b) your questionnaire or topic guide, and (c) any materials (e.g., letter, email message, or advertisement) used to recruit participants for your study.

## **Citations and Bibliography**

You must cite your source of any fact or statistic not commonly known as well as the source of any quote, paraphrase or summary of the work, opinions or interpretations of an individual, publication or web site. The Princeton School of Public and International Affairs does not prescribe to any particular citation style. You may follow the guidelines of any generally accepted system of citation as listed below. You should consult with your advisor before making your choice.

Footnote citations must appear on the same page and not at the end.

The bibliography should appear at the end of the thesis. It is NOT necessary to group sources by type of material in your bibliography (i.e. books, newspapers, interviews grouped together). In fact, this makes it more difficult for the reader to trace your sources.

## **Library Research Tips** (as of August 2023)

- Start your research early.
- Talk to your advisor and to librarians about your research.
- Use a system to manage your references (such as Zotero).

We encourage you to email the Stokes librarians for a research consultation ([stokeslib@princeton.edu](mailto:stokeslib@princeton.edu)). The library is located on the lower level of Wallace Hall, and librarians are available to meet in person or via Zoom.

This section covers library services (librarians and accessing materials), help obtaining data and analyzing it, citing your work, and links to a [Research Guide](#).

## **Librarians at the Stokes Library (lower level, Wallace Hall or via Zoom)**

[Joann Donatiello](#): Population Research (health policy, census, immigration and demography)

[Ameet Doshi](#): Head, Donald E. Stokes Library (domestic policy, education, research methods)

[Ofira Schwartz-Soicher](#): Social Sciences Data and Sociology Librarian

## **Firestone Librarians (Subject Specialists)**

[Alain St. Pierre](#): African Studies, World History

[Steve Knowlton](#): African American Studies, American History

[Martin Heijdra](#): Head, East Asian Library

[Bobray Bordelon](#): Economics and Finance

[Fernando Acosta-Rodriguez](#): Latin American and Latino Studies

[David Hollander](#): Law & European Union

[Jeremy Darrington](#): Politics

[Thomas Keenan](#): Slavic, East European, and Eurasian Studies

Check out the [Princeton Research Guides](#) created by Library [subject specialists](#). For example, [Economics and Finance FAQs](#) or [Public Policy Guide](#) from Stokes Library for information on resources for producing a well-researched paper and course-specific research guides for Policy task forces, research seminars, and the [Methods Lab](#).

## **Data and Data Analysis Support**

You may be planning to conduct quantitative analysis for your research project. Once you identified a research question, you should identify a dataset that would be appropriate to answer it. You should start by reading previous literature (articles, books, etc.) about the topic, as this will help you find datasets used by others to address similar research questions. Consulting Ofira Schwartz-Soicher, the Social Science Data and Sociology Librarian may be helpful and save you time.

- **How to find a dataset for your research**

- If you are looking for a specific dataset or would like to browse datasets available by topic, check the [Data and Statistical Services Data Catalog](#).
- Please feel free to contact [Ofira Schwartz-Soicher](#), Social Sciences Data and Sociology Librarian, she will gladly assist you finding data.

Once you have identified a dataset, you should download and prepare it (data cleaning and wrangling) for analysis, and finally analyze the data, interpret and present the results. The library offers a number of services to support you throughout this process.

- **Where to find data analysis support**

- [Stokes Viz Hub](#) offers workshops focusing on data visualization, quantitative and qualitative data analysis and the digital research process. [Data analysis consultations](#) are offered as well. Our consultants are graduate students with research methods and programming expertise. They could advise you on choosing appropriate analytic method and implementing your analysis using R, Stata, Python. Qualitative research methods consultations are available as well.
- [Data and Statistical Services](#) (DSS) provides expert advice to students, faculty, and staff on choosing and applying appropriate research methods for your research, the interpretation and presentation of results.
- [Maps and Geospatial Information Center](#) provides access to paper maps, geospatial data, digital maps and geographic information systems (GIS) services. The center also provides research consultation and instruction to all levels of user experience in their facility or in your office or classroom.

## Access to materials

[Accessing the library's many databases and other digital resources from off-campus is easy. See your options for authentication here.](#)

[If materials do not exist electronically](#), you can also request items to be digitized by visiting the library's [catalog](#), searching the item for availability, then clicking on "Request Pick-up or Digitization" and following the instructions.

We highly recommend familiarizing yourself with both the Stokes and Firestone print collections, as well as the many additional branch library collections available at Princeton.

## Research Materials not available at Princeton University Library

Request books, videos, reports, etc.:

- Try [Borrow Direct](#) to request a book not owned by the Library or checked out to someone else. (Borrow Direct is a group of Ivy and peer institutions' libraries that loan material to one another.)

Request Articles:

- [Request an article](#) not owned by the Library using [Article Express](#).

Recommendations for purchase, including data requests

- Contact a [Stokes librarian](#) who will communicate with you about the item you need.

## Citation/Bibliography Management Software

It is highly recommended that you use software to manage your citations and bibliography. Zotero, Mendeley, and Refworks are citation managers that help you to keep track of the sources you are using and format your references in a style that you choose.

Comparison information on these is available at

<https://libguides.princeton.edu/bibman>. **For assistance or questions about citing sources contact Stokes Library ([stokeslib@princeton.edu](mailto:stokeslib@princeton.edu))**. The librarians at Stokes Library recommend Zotero ([www.zotero.org](http://www.zotero.org)). Detailed instructions for installing and running Zotero are available at <https://libguides.princeton.edu/Zoteroandwriting>

## Citation Manuals

You can review information on both online and print citation manuals at this link: <http://library.princeton.edu/help/citing-sources>

Several style manuals are available online:

The Chicago Manual of Style

<https://www.chicagomanualofstyle.org/book/ed17/frontmatter/toc.html>

American Psychological Association Style (via Academic Writer)

<http://library.princeton.edu/resource/27650>

The Stokes Library in Wallace Hall has a current copy of the most popular Style Manuals on the Writing Shelf. For legal citation, Stokes has The Bluebook 21st ed., 2020.